Irisin immunostaining characteristics of breast and ovarian cancer cells
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Abstract: To determine expression pattern of irisin in tissues obtained from human ovarian cancer, breast cancer, and cervix cancer. Tissue samples obtained from subjects with breast cancer, ovarian cancer cervix cancer, simple endometrial hyperplasia, complex atypical endometrial hyperplasia. At least five sections from each subject were immunohistochemically stained with irisin antibody, and H-score method was used to evaluate irisin intensity. Tissues obtained from healthy breast tissues, proliferative phase endometrium adenomyosis and benign ovarian tumors were accepted as control. Irisin activity was not detected in control breast tissues significantly increased irisin staining was detected in invasive lobular, intraductal papillary, invasive ductal, invasive papillary, and mucinous carcinomas compared to control tissues. Also, significantly increased irisin immunoreactivity was detected in both ovarian endometriosis and mucinous carcinomas compared to benign tumors. However irisin staining was not observed at the papillary carcinoma of the ovary while sections obtained from simple and complex atypical endometrial hyperplasia, and cervix carcinoma demonstrated irisin immunoreactivity. Increased irisin immunoreactivity in tissues obtained from breast, ovary, cervix carcinomas, and endometrial hyperplasia suggest critical role of this peptide during carcinogenesis.
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Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) and ovarian cancer (OC) are the leading cancer among women worldwide. 5-10 % of breast cancer cases is of hereditary origin, mainly due to BRCA1/2 mutations (1) or may likely be a relevant some energy associated peptides production (increase or decrease) such as ghrelin and obestatin (2-4). Recently, an energy associated-irisin is an 112-amino acid peptide that was identified in 2013 in the rat and human skeletal muscle by Boström and co-workers (5). After this initial report, irisin was also reported a high level in the adipocytes (6), kidneys, gastric mucosa (7), pancreas (8,9), gonads and heart tissue, blood Vessels, and so many other tissues (8-10). Interestingly, the levels in the heart were even higher than those detected in the skeletal muscle (9), indicating a predominant expression of irisin in the heart tissues. The function of irisin is to convert white adipose tissues (WAT) into brown adipose tissue (BAT). From a physiological point of view, the conversion of WAT into BAT upregulates mitochondria bearing the uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1), mediates adaptive thermogenesis by releasing heat (5), and thereby contributes to the maintenance of body temperature, and glucose homeostasis and also helps weight reduction in obese individuals after exercise because it is released into the blood stream (10). It has been also reported that this molecule has an anticarcinogenic properties (11).

Furthermore, we have recently showed the different expression patterns of irisin in many healthy (9) and pathological tissues (12). However, when reviewing the literature there is no comprehensive immunohistochemical study investigating whether irisin is expressed in ovarian and/or breast cancer tissues or not. Likewise, studies investigating irisin expression in premalignant endometrial lesions are lacking.

The purpose of this study was to characterize the expression pattern of irisin in tissues from different human ovarian cancer. Due to close relation between ovarian cancer and breast cancer cases in terms of BRCA1/2 mutations patients diagnosed with breast cancer are included to study as control group. Moreover, due to estrogen dependent characteristic of breast and endometrial lesions patients having endometrial hyperplasia were obtained as second control group.

Materials and Methods

This study has been approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Firat University Faculty of Medicine (date 02/10/2015, session #3, decision #4), and conducted based on the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. To determine irisin expression status of malignant and premalignant tissues (endometrial hyper-
plasia), we obtained tissue samples from subjects with ovarian cancer, aged 36–58 years, subjects with breast cancer, aged 40-66 years, subjects with cervix cancer, aged 44-62 years, subjects with simple endometrial hyperplasia, aged 30-43 years, and subjects with complex atypical endometrial hyperplasia, aged 33-48 years. Tissues obtained from healthy breast tissues, proliferative phase endometrium, adenomyosis and benign ovarian tumors were accepted as control. All breast and ovarian cancer tissues were moderately differentiated according to World Health Organization classification (WHO) (13). We examined 3-5 sections from each type of cancer (n: 10 cases for each individual cancer types) and 10 sections from control tissues. Patients whose tissues were used had received no chemotherapy or radiotherapy before operation and had sedentary lifestyle, and samples were taken from both affected and unaffected (internal control) areas of each tumor.

**Irisin immunohistochemistry**

Tissue samples for irisin immunostaining were obtained from women scheduled for ovarian cancer or breast cancer surgery. Likewise, endometrial tissue samples were obtained from women diagnosed with endometrial hyperplasia. Irisin intensity was measured in both healthy and malignant cells of precancerous and cancerous tissue sections. Both endometrial samples and post-surgical breast and ovarian cancer blocks were exhaustively sectioned at a thickness of 4-5 µm using a microtome perpendicular to the long axis of the tumor.

At least five slices were selected from the each tumor using systematic random sampling rules. Sections were immunohistochemically stained with irisin as previously described (14). Quantitation of the staining in the sections was done using the light microscopy to give an immunoreactive histoscore (H-score), based on the modification of Kuloglu and Aydin (14), which was determined by screening 100 cells in the staining area for each preparation.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS 21.00 software package was used for statistical analyses. H-score evaluations are given as the mean ± standard deviation (SD).

**Results**

Total 180 subjects were enrolled in the investigation. Three-five slices from each case, totally 540-900 sections, were processed for determination of irisin H-score. The mean irisin H-score for different histological groups of breast cancers are illustrated in Table 1. Irisin immunoreactivity was not detected in control breast tissues significantly increased irisin staining was detected in invasive lobular, intraductal papillary, invasive ductal, invasive papillary, and mucinous carcinomas compared to control tissues (p<0.05). Increase in irisin intensity in invasive ductal carcinoma is more severe than those in the other histological subtypes (Figure 1a-f).

The mean H-score of irisin in human genital tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological Samples</th>
<th>Histoscore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benign Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Control</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive Lobular Carcinoma</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraductal Papillary Carcinoma</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive Ductal Carcinoma</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive Papillary Carcinoma</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous Carcinoma</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Control vs all carcinomas (p<0.05); b invasive lobular carcinoma vs intraductal papillary carcinoma; c intraductal papillary carcinoma vs invasive ductal carcinoma vs invasive papillary carcinoma vs mucinous carcinoma (p<0.05) and invasive ductal carcinoma vs invasive papillary carcinoma vs mucinous carcinoma (p<0.05).

**Figure 1.** Immunoreactivity of irisin in human breast tissues with and without breast cancers. a) Control; b) invasive lobular carcinoma; c) intraductal papillary carcinoma; d) invasive ductal carcinoma; e) invasive papillary carcinoma; and mucinous carcinoma. Irisin immunoreactivity increased in all breast cancer tissues compared with control tissue irisin immunoreactivity.
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with and without genital cancer and endometrium tissues was seen in Table 2. Luteal cells of control ovarian tissue displayed irisin expression. However, irisin immunoreactivity was not detected in both mucinous and serous cystadenoma of the ovary. Although increased irisin immunoreactivity was detected in ovarian endometrioid carcinoma, irisin staining was not observed sections obtained from serous papillary carcinoma. Mucinous adenocarcinoma of the ovary exhibited weak but widely distributed irisin immunoreactivity. While proliferative phase endometrial samples did not show irisin staining, sections obtained from simple endometrial hyperplasia demonstrated intense increase in irisin immunoreactivity. Interestingly, weak but disseminated irisin staining was detected in complex atypical endometrial hyperplasia. Irisin immunoreactivity was also detected in both adenomyosis and non-keratinized squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix. Increased irisin intensity was found in samples from squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix. All those explanations were given in Figure 2a-l.

Table 2. Histoscore of irisin immunoreactivity in human genital tissues with and without genital cancer and endometrium tissues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biological Samples</th>
<th>Histoscore</th>
<th>Tumour Cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal Ovarian Tissue</td>
<td>1.40±0.36</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian Mucinous Cystadenoma</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian Serous Cystadenoma</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian Endometrioid Carcinoma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.43±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian Serous Papillary Carcinoma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian Mucinous Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.87±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative Phase Endometrium</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial Hyperplasia</td>
<td>2.61±0.28</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Atypical Endometrial Hyperplasia</td>
<td>0.67±0.30</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenomyosis</td>
<td>0.25±0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervix Nonkeratinizing Squamous-Cell Carcinoma</td>
<td>0.12±0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervix Squamous Cell Carcinoma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.52±0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Immunoreactivity of irisin in human genital tissues with and without genital cancer and endometrium tissues. a) Control; b) ovarian mucinous cystadenoma; c) ovarian serous cystadenoma; d) ovarian endometrioid carcinoma; e) ovarian serous papillary carcinoma; f) ovarian mucinous adenocarcinoma; g) proliferative phase endometrium; h) endometrial hyperplasia; i) complex atypical endometrial hyperplasia; j) adenomyosis; k) cervix non-keratinizing squamous-cell carcinoma, and l) cervix squamous-cell carcinoma.
Discussion

Our study is the first attempt investigating irisin expression in BC, OC, and endometrial hyperplasia. We have re-addressed the hereditary and estrogen dependent nature of BC, OC, and endometrial hyperplasia with entirely new data. Relatively few investigations of irisin have been performed due the difficulty in obtaining appropriate tumor tissue for evaluation and the laborious nature of tissue preparation from cancerous lesions. Moreover, we have some difficulties related to immunostaining procedures of cancerous tissues with irisin. Fortunately, remarkable animal and human studies from our group have improved our experience regarding irisin immunostaining in different tissues.

Limitations of our earlier investigations include the use of non-quantitative evaluation techniques for estimations of irisin staining intensity in normal and pathological tissues. Another limitation is the measurement of irisin staining intensity in either normal or abnormal cells only one section. To overcome these handicaps in present study we have evaluated at least five sections from pathological block’s of one patients. We have also used semi-quantitative H-score method to more accurately evaluate tissue irisin levels (12,15).

We believe the immunohistochemical analysis of tissues obtained from breast or ovarian cancer subjects offer considerable advantages over serum-based irisin measurement techniques. Previous study, Provotopoulou et al measured serum irisin concentration in women with breast cancer. They reported that serum levels of irisin significantly declined in women diagnosed with breast cancer (16). But they did not evaluated the tissue levels of irisin in cancerous tissue. Therefore, without measuring tissue levels of irisin detection of decline in serum irisin in women having breast cancer is not conclusive. Due to lack of specified irisin receptor in breast tissue we could not make logical comment regarding to transport of serum irisin into the breast tissue. Moreover, since the irisin is an exercise hormone serum levels of it shows fluctuation that leads to under or overestimated irisin measurement in serum samples (10).

Although main production site of irisin is the muscle cells many living tissues express irisin peptide with different intensity. Irisin expressions in healthy and non-cancerous cells of tumor tissue support this hypothesis. The possible source of increased irisin expression in breast and ovarian cancer cells is unclear. This might be secondary to increased expression of irisin from muscle cells or increased local production from cancerous cells. Due to age or physiological conditions of subjects with cancer regular exercises in those women are unusual. Hence, it is most likely possible that increased irisin expression in tumor cells is secondary to local production of irisin in cancer cells. Although we did not measure serum levels of irisin, previous study demonstrated the decline in serum levels of irisin in breast cancer women (16). If the increased tissue levels of irisin are related to serum irisin levels they had been detected high serum irisin levels. Together, increase in irisin intensity in BC or OC cells is related to local production rather than systemic production and secretion into the circulation.

We exactly do not know how irisin functions in cancerous cells. It should be noted that our increased irisin estimates for women at different BC and OC subtypes suggest that irisin accumulation in cancerous tissues is irrespective of histological subtypes of breast or ovarian cancers. Nevertheless, some lack of irisin immunostaining some ovarian cancer need further evaluation. Both immunological status and grade and stage of tumors could affect irisin staining of healthy tissue. Therefore, studies evaluating the possible correlation between tumor stage, grade, and irisin staining are required. Accumulation of irisin in premalignant endometrial lesions supports the possible inductor or inhibitor role of irisin during cancer development. Since the irisin is a thermoregulatory peptide it may have a specific role in cell cycle of cancer cells. Concordantly, a previous study conducted by Gannon et al concluded that irisin display cytotoxic impacts against breast and prostate cancer cells (17). Incomplete activation of electron transport system by irisin might lead to thermal injury of tumor cells. It is well known that proliferation of cancer cells is required both high ATP and heating (18). Increased heating activity within the microenvironment of tumor inhibits the apoptosis of cancer cells that lead to occurrence of immortal cells. Therefore, balanced thermal activity is obligatory to prevent uncontrolled cell proliferation. Accordingly, irisin may regulate the thermal activity inside breast and ovarian tumors and then prohibit the proliferation of cancer cells.

It may be speculative but increased irisin immunoreactivity in cancerous tissue might be responsible for the weight loss of women suffering from ovarian and breast cancers. Irisin dependent burning of white adipose tissue might lead to cancer cachexia. If our hypothesis is true administration of anti-irisin in patients having cancer may prevent weight loss. This approach could open new horizons in treatment of cancer cachexia.

In conclusion, we first detected intensity of irisin immunostaining in tissue samples of women diagnosed with ovarian cancer, breast cancer, cervix cancer, or endometrial hyperplasia using immunohistochemistry technique. Second, we measured the irisin intensity control tissues obtained from, normal breast tissue, proliferative phase endometrium, adenomyosis, and benign ovarian tumors. In both group H-score method was used to evaluate irisin staining intensity. Accordingly, irisin may regulate the thermal activity inside breast and ovarian tumors and then prohibit the proliferation of cancer cells.
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